PCT

(30) Priority Data:

60/086.615

WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION International Bureau



INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

į	(51) International Patent Classification ⁶ :		(11) International Publication Number:	WO 99/61287
	B60R 19/03	A1	(43) International Publication Date:	2 December 1999 (02.12.99)

US

PCT/US99/11195 (21) International Application Number:

(22) International Filing Date: 20 May 1999 (20.05.99)

22 May 1998 (22.05.98)

(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): MAGNA INTERNATIONAL OF AMERICA, INC. [US/US]; 600 Wilshire Drive, Troy, MI 48084 (US).

(72) Inventor; and (75) Inventor/Applicant (for US only): WILSON, Phillip, S. [US/US]: 5480 Huron Hills Drive, Commerce Township, MI 48382 (US).


(74) Agents: LIPPITT, Raymond, F. et al.; Pillsbury Madison & Sutro LLP, 1100 New York Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC 20005 (US).

(81) Designated States: AE, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CU, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZW, ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, SD, SL, SZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published

With international search report.

(54) Title: FASCIA FOR A MOTOR VEHICLE HAVING REDUCED WALL THICKNESS



(57) Abstract

A fascia (10) for an automobile comprising a structure extending horizontally and vertically across an entire front end or rear end of the automobile, the structure having an average wall thickness of less than about 2.2 mm. The structure is formed from at least one polyolefin material and reinforcement particles dispersed within the at least one polyolefin material. The reinforcement particles comprise less than 15 % of a total volume of the structure. At least 40 % of the reinforcement particles have a thickness of less than about 50 nanometers. A decorative coating layer having at least one coloring agent is disposed on the rigid structure.

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Amenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	Prance	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece		Republic of Macedonia	TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	ML	Mali	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MN	Mongolia	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MR	Mauritania	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MW	Malawi	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	MX	Mexico	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NE	Niger	VN	Vict Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NL	Netherlands	YU	Yugoslavia
СН	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NO	Norway	zw	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's	NZ	New Zealand		
CM	Cameroon		Republic of Korea	PL	Poland		
CN	China	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CU	Cuba	KZ	Kazakstan	RO	Romania		
CZ	Czech Republic	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
DE	Germany	u	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DK	Denmark	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
EE	Estonia	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		

WO 99/61287 PCT/US99/11195

FASCIA FOR A MOTOR VEHICLE HAVING REDUCED WALL THICKNESS

Field of the Invention

10

15

20

25

This invention relates to a fascia for a motor vehicle. In particular, this invention relates to a fascia having reduced wall thickness.

Background of the Invention

Fascia for motor vehicles typically require superior impact characteristics. More particularly, these parts must be engineered to be sufficiently flexible and ductile so as to flex and return to their original shape without cracking in the event of predetermined front or rear vehicle impact. Thus, vehicle fascia typically must have a sufficiently high modulus, and must be sufficiently ductile to withstand standard impact tests.

Fascia are generally molded from a polyolefin material which is subsequently painted to match the other "Class A" finish exterior metal body components. It is easy to damage the pre-painted molded polyolefin parts during handling in the production facilities. This is particularly true during the frequent handling, which typically occurs between the molding operation and the paint ovens. The surface damage may not be noticed until the parts are painted with the typical high gloss exterior coatings. It is not possible to recycle the molded parts back into the molding process once they have been painted, and significant value is lost with each scrap part.

In the automotive industry, there is a continuing desire to reduce the amount of material used to manufacture vehicle body parts. In particular, it is desirable to provide parts that are of lesser weight, but of the same or better structural integrity. Reducing vehicle weight increases gas mileage and also helps

meet environmental requirements. Moreover, reducing the amount of material used reduces material, energy, and other costs associated with part production.

With respect to motor vehicle fascia, it is desirable to provide a part having high impact resistant characteristics. For these applications, the dimensional stability is of lesser importance. Thus, for example, fascia can be more flexible (lower modulus) and have a higher coefficient of expansion than other body parts, such as outer door panels which are bounded on more than one side by other vehicle parts. In order to maintain the impact resistance, fascia are typically devoid of any substantial reinforcement. Because the fascia is not reinforced to any significant extent, they must be made thicker to provide the requisite dimensional stability. As the thickness of the fascia increases, more material costs are incurred, and the weight of the part is also increased. Heretofore, the thinnest fascia having sufficient dimensional stability so as to be commercially acceptable has had an average wall thickness of about 2.4 mm.

15 Summary of the Invention

10

20

The disadvantages of the prior art may be overcome by providing a fascia for an automobile comprising a structure extending horizontally and vertically across an entire front end or rear end of the automobile, the structure having an average wall thickness of less than about 2.2 mm. The structure is formed from at least one polyolefin material and reinforcement particles dispersed within the at least one polyolefin material. The reinforcement particles comprise less than 15% of a total volume of the structure. At least 40% of the reinforcement particles have a thickness of less than about 50 nanometers. A decorative coating layer having a least one coloring agent is disposed on the rigid structure.

3

In a more preferred embodiment, at least 50% of the reinforcement particles have a thickness of less than about 20 nanometers. It is also preferred for at least 90% of the reinforcement particles have a thickness of less than about 10 nanometers. It is also preferred for at least 99% of the reinforcement particles have a thickness of less than about 30 nanometers.

Brief Description of the Drawings

5

15

20

25

FIG. 1 is a front perspective view of a front end fascia for a motor vehicle having reduced wall thickness in accordance with the present invention;

FIG. 2 is a cross sectional view taken across the line 2-2 in FIG. 1.

10 Detailed Description of the Preferred Embodiments

In accordance with the present invention, the modulus of the fascia material can be greatly increased. As a result, the wall thickness can be reduced while maintaining the same required impact resistant characteristics noted above. More particularly, with the loading of nanoparticles discussed above, the modulus of the fascia material can be increased to between about 200,000 to about 500,000 PSI, and the average wall thickness for the fascia can preferably be reduced to below 2.2 mm while maintaining the same impact resistant characteristics. More preferably, the modulus of the fascia material is between about 250,000 to 450,000 PSI and the average wall thickness for the fascia can be reduced to below 2.0 mm. The thickness of the fascia in accordance with the invention is represented by reference character "t" in FIG. 2. Preferably, the minimum average wall thickness for the fascia is greater than 1.4 mm, as wall thicknesses below this level do not provide adequate stiffness while retaining low temperature impact resistance. The accuracy of the average wall thickness measurement is generally within about +/-0.2 mm.

The automobile fascia manufactured in accordance with the present invention comprises a composite material of a polymer having dispersed therein reinforcement fillers in the form of very small mineral reinforcement particles. The reinforcement filler particles, also referred to as "nanoparticles" due to the magnitude of their dimensions, each comprise one or more generally flat platelets. Each platelet has a thickness of between 0.7-1.2 nanometers. Generally, the average platelet thickness is approximately 1 nanometer thick. The aspect ratio (which is the largest dimension divided by the thickness) for each particle is about 50 to about 300.

The platelet particles or nanoparticles are derivable from larger layered mineral particles. Any layered mineral capable of being intercalated may be employed in the present invention. Layered silicate minerals are preferred. The layered silicate minerals that may be employed include natural and artificial minerals. Non-limiting examples of more preferred minerals include montmorillonite, vermiculite, hectorite, saponite, hydrotalcites, kanemite, sodium octosilicate, magadiite, and kenyaite. Mixed Mg and Al hydroxides may also be used. Among the most preferred minerals is montmorillonite.

10

15

20

25

To exfoliate the larger mineral particles into their constituent layers, different methods may be employed. For example, swellable layered minerals, such as montmorillonite and saponite are known to intercalate water to expand the inter layer distance of the layered mineral, thereby facilitating exfoliation and dispersion of the layers uniformly in water. Dispersion of layers in water is aided by mixing with high shear. The mineral particles may also be exfoliated by a shearing process in which the mineral particles are impregnated with water, then frozen, and then dried. The freeze dried particles are then mixed into molten

polymeric material and subjected to a high sheer mixing operation so as to peel individual platelets from multi-platelet particles and thereby reduce the particle sizes to the desired range.

The composites of the present invention are prepared by combining the platelet mineral with the desired polymer in the desired ratios. The components can be blended by general techniques known to those skilled in the art. For example, the components can be blended and then melted in mixers or extruders.

5

10

15

20

25

Additional specific preferred methods, for the purposes of the present invention, for forming a polymer composite having dispersed therein exfoliated layered particles are disclosed in U.S. Patent Nos. 5,717,000, 5,747,560, 5,698,624, and WO 93/11190. Additional background is included in the following references: U.S. Patent Nos. 4,739,007 and 5,652,284.

Preferably, the polymer used for the purposes of the present invention is a polyolefin or a blend of polyolefins. The preferred polyolefin is at least one member selected from the group consisting of polypropylene, ethylene-propylene copolymers, thermoplastic olefins (TPOs), and thermoplastic polyolefin elastomers (TPEs).

The exfoliation of layered mineral particles into constituent layers need not be complete in order to achieve the objects of the present invention. The present invention contemplates that at least 40% of the particles should be less than about 50 nanometers in thickness and, thus, at least 40% of the particles should be less than about 50 platelets stacked upon one another in the thickness direction. More preferably, at least 50 % of the particles should have a thickness of less than 10 nanometers. Even more preferably, at least 70% of the particles should have a thickness of less than 5 nanometers. It is most preferable to have as many

WO 99/61287 PCT/US99/11195

particles as possible to be as small as possible, ideally including only a single platelet.

In the most preferred embodiment, at least 50% of the particles should be less than about 20 nanometers in thickness, and at least 99% of the particles should be less than about 30 nanometers in thickness.

5

10

15

20

25

As noted above, the preferred aspect ratio (which is the largest dimension divided by the thickness) for each particle is about 50 to about 300. At least 80% of the particles should be within this range. If too many particles have an aspect ratio above 300, the material becomes too viscous for forming parts in an effective and efficient manner. If too many particles have an aspect ratio of smaller than 50, the particle reinforcements will not provide the desired reinforcement characteristics. More preferably, the aspect ratio for each particle is between 100-200. Most preferably, at least 90% of the particles have an aspect ratio within the 100-200 range.

Generally, in accordance with the present invention, each of the parts to be manufactured should contain less than 15% by volume of the reinforcement particles of the type contemplated herein. The balance of the part is to comprise an appropriate polyolefin material and suitable additives. If greater than 15% by volume of reinforcement filler is used, the viscosity of the composition becomes too high and thus difficult to mold.

In accordance with the present invention, by adding the exfoliated platelet material in accordance with the above, the modulus of the fascia can be increased without losing impact resistance. Because the modulus is increased, the fascia can be made thinner than what was otherwise possible. Conventionally, fascia materials for automobiles must have sufficient flexibility, and thus a sufficiently

WO 99/61287 7 PCT/US99/11195

low modulus to withstand various standard automotive impact tests. For example, an automotive fascia must withstand a typical dart (puncture type) impact test wherein the fascia will not crack or permanently deform upon impact of at least 200 inch pounds force at a temperature of -30°C or lower. In a conventional IZOD impact test, it is desirable for the fascia to withstand at least 10 ft pounds/inch at room temperature and at least 5 ft pounds/inch at -30°C. In order to withstand cracking at such force levels, the modulus for the fascia is typically between about 70,000 to about 150,000 pounds per square inch. (PSI). With this modulus, the thinnest fascia known has had an average wall thickness of about 2.4 mm.

Because the modulus of the fascia material can be greatly increased, the wall thickness can be reduced while maintaining the same required impact resistant characteristics noted above. More particularly, with the loadings of exfoliated platelets discussed above, the modulus of the fascia material can be increased to between about 200,000 to about 500,000 PSI, and the average wall thickness for the fascia can preferably be reduced to below 2.2 mm while maintaining the same impact resistant characteristics. More preferably, the modulus of the fascia material is between about 250,000 to 450,000 PSI and the average wall thickness for the fascia can be reduced to below 2.0 mm. Again, the accuracy of the average wall thickness measurement techniques is within about +/-0.2 mm.

15

20

In addition to the above mentioned benefits, the coefficient of linear thermal expansion can be reduced to less than 40 x 10-6 inches of expansion per inch of material per degree Fahrenheit (IN/IN)/°F, which is less than 60% of what

WO 99/61287 8 PCT/US99/11195

was previously achievable for motor vehicle fascia that meet the required impact tests. As a further benefit, the surface toughness of the fascia can be improved.

The improved surface toughness provided by the nanoparticles greatly reduces handling damage and part scrap. It also eliminates the need for the extra packaging and protective materials and the labor involved.

5

10

15

20

It has also been found that it is possible to more than double the modulus of polymers without significantly reducing toughness. Thus, it is possible to produce parts like fascia using 25-35% thinner wall sections that will have comparable performance. The use of nanoparticles can provide the mechanical, thermal, and dimensional property enhancements, which are typically obtained by adding 20-50% by weight of glass fibers or mineral fillers or combinations thereof to polymers. However, only a few percent of nanoparticles are required to obtain these property enhancements.

As a result of the fact that such low levels of nanoparticles are required to obtain the requisite mechanical properties, many of the typical negative effects of the high loadings of conventional reinforcements and fillers are avoided or significantly reduced. These advantages include: lower specific gravity for a given level of performance, better surface appearance, toughness close to that of the unreinforced base polymer, and reduced anisotropy in the molded parts.

It is preferable for these relatively flexible panels to have reinforcement particles of the type described herein comprising about 2-8% of the total volume of the panel, with the balance comprising the polyolefin substrate. It is even more preferable for these exterior panels to have reinforcement particles of the type contemplated herein comprising about 3%-5% of the total volume of the panel.

It should be noted that the decorative coating layer having a least one coloring agent discussed herein may comprise any suitable paint used in the automotive industry.

It should be appreciated that the foregoing description is illustrative in

nature and that the present invention includes modifications, changes, and
equivalents thereof, without departure from the scope of the invention. Thus, the
present invention encompasses all embodiments and equivalents contemplated by
the spirit and scope of the following claims.

WHAT IS CLAIMED IS:

5

10

15

20

25

- 1. A fascia for an automobile, comprising: a structure extending horizontally and vertically across an entire front end or rear end of the automobile, said structure having an average wall thickness of less than about 2.2 mm, said structure formed from at least one polyolefin material and reinforcement particles dispersed within the at least one polyolefin material, said reinforcement particles comprising less than 15% of a total volume of the structure, at least 40% of the reinforcement particles having a thickness of less than about 50 nanometers, and a decorative coating layer having a least one coloring agent disposed on the structure.
- 2. A fascia for an automobile in accordance with claim 1, wherein at least 50% of the reinforcement particles have a thickness of less than about 20 nanometers, and wherein at least 99% of the reinforcement particles have a thickness of less than about 30 nanometers.
- 3. A fascia for an automobile in accordance with claim 2, wherein a modulus of the fascia is between about 250,000 to about 450,000 PSI.
 - A fascia for an automobile in accordance with claim 1, wherein said structure has an average wall thickness greater than about 1.4 mm.

- 5. A fascia for an automobile in accordance with claim 4, wherein the average wall thickness is below about 2.0 mm
- 6. A fascia for an automobile in accordance with claim 1, wherein said polyolefin is at least one member selected from the group consisting of polypropylene, ethylene-propylene copolymers, thermoplastic olefins (TPOs), and thermoplastic polyolefin elastomers (TPEs).


5

15

- 7. A fascia for an automobile in accordance with claim 1, wherein at least 80% of said reinforcement particles have an aspect ratio of about 50 to about 300.
 - 8. A fascia for an automobile in accordance with claim 7, wherein at least 90% of the reinforcement particles have an aspect ratio of about 100 to about 200.
- 9. A fascia for an automobile in accordance with claim 1, wherein said reinforcement particles comprise at least one material selected from a group consisting of montmorillonite, vermiculite, hectorite, saponite, hydrotalcites, kanemite, sodium octosilicate, magadiite, and kenyaite.

12
A fascia for an automobile in accordance with claim 1, wherein 10. said reinforcement particles comprise about 2-8% of the total volume of the fascia.





INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No. PCT/US99/11195

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) U.S.: 293/120 Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched like the search of the	A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER IPC(6) :B60R 19/03 US CL :293/120 According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC					
Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) APS C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Category* Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim No. A US 5,711,562 A (TERADA et al.) 27 January 1998 NONE A US 5,609,374 A (SAWAE et al.) 11 March 1997 NONE NONE Special assignment of citated documents "Special assignment of citated documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. "Special assignment of a start of the service in the continuation of Box C. "Special assignment of a start of the service in a start of the service in the continuation of the continuation of Box C. "To document published one or after the international filling date to repeat reason (as specified) "Yo document referring to an ordinative discharged continuation of the continuation of continuation of particular relevances, the claimed invention cannot be continued to the continuation of particular relevances, the claimed invention cannot be continued of the continuation of the international filling date but blater than the principle and particular relevances, the claimed invention cannot be continued of the cannot be continued on the cannot be continued of the c			d by classification symbols)			
Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) APS C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Category* Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim No. A US 5,711,562 A (TERADA et al.) 27 January 1998 NONE A US 5,609,374 A (SAWAE et al.) 11 March 1997 NONE A US 5,071,500 A (KUMAGAI et al.) 10 December 1991 NONE ** Special eategrate of a titled documents ** A document defining the general sense of the set which is not considered to be of perfected to the of perfected sense of the set which is not considered to be of perfected to the of perfected sense of the set which is not considered to be of the sense of the set of the sense of the set which is not considered to the of perfected sense of the set which is not considered to the set of perfect which the application of the sense of the sense of the sense plates (sense) when the document is also about the document referring to as a real disclosure, use, exhibition or other sense of the sense plates (family and the sense of the sense plates) family and the sense plates (family and the sense of the sense plates (family sense of the sense plates) family and the sense of the sense plates (family sense of the sense plates (family and the sense of the sense plates (family and the sense plates) (family and the sense						
C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Category* Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim No. A US 5,711,562 A (TERADA et al.) 27 January 1998 NONE A US 5,609,374 A (SAWAE et al.) 11 March 1997 NONE A US 5,071,500 A (KUMAGAI et al.) 10 December 1991 NONE VS 5,071,500 A (KUMAGAI et al.) 10 December 1991 NONE Transport of control of the second of the secon	Documentatio	on searched other than minimum documentation to the	e extent that such documents are included	in the fields searched		
C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Category* Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim No. A US 5,711,562 A (TERADA et al.) 27 January 1998 NONE A US 5,609,374 A (SAWAE et al.) 11 March 1997 NONE A US 5,071,500 A (KUMAGAI et al.) 10 December 1991 NONE "Special categories of cited documents "A" Special categories of cited documents "A" document defining the general rate of the are which is not considered to be of perfections relevant to the policitation and control to the perfect of the principle or theory underlying the investion and the principle or theory underlying the investion and the principle or the document which may three document subtractions or other special crasses (as a specially careed, as a periodic or other special crasses (as a specially careed, as a periodic or other special crasses (as a specially discussed and of surcher discussed in the special crasses (as a specially decoment or other subtractions to considered to involve an investor a supervision of periodic relevance (as a specially decoment or other subtractions) and the problembed prior to the merisational fling date but later than the problembed prior to the merisational fling date but later than the principle or the commission of particular relevance, the claimed investion cancer to expect the commission of particular relevance, the claimed investion cancer to expect a supervision commission or other such documents such combination being deviced to investigate the commission of particular relevance, the claimed investion cancer to expect a supervision or expense documents such documents, such combination being deviced to investigate the commission of particular relevance, the claimed investion cancer to expense of ex	Electronic det	to have consulted during the international search (n.	ame of data base and, where practicable	search terms used)		
Category* Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim No. A US 5,711,562 A (TERADA et al.) 27 January 1998 NONE A US 5,609,374 A (SAWAE et al.) 11 March 1997 NONE A US 5,071,500 A (KUMAGAI et al.) 10 December 1991 NONE * Special categories of sited documents of comparison of c		to base consumed during the montational section (in		•		
A US 5,711,562 A (TERADA et al.) 27 January 1998 NONE NONE See patent family annex. To be a state of the	c. pocu	IMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT				
A US 5,609,374 A (SAWAE et al.) 11 March 1997 NONE US 5,071,500 A (KUMAGAI et al.) 10 December 1991 See patent family annex.	Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where ap	ppropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.		
LUS 5,071,500 A (KUMAGAI et al.) 10 December 1991 See patent family annex. **To special categories of cited documents **A' social categories of cited documents **A' document defining the general rate of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance or other special reason (as specified) To' document which may there doubts on priority elain(s) or which is noted to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention cannot be considered to any other doubts on priority elain(s) or which is noted to enable the publication date of understand considered to travolve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to particular relevance; the clai	Α	US 5,711,562 A (TERADA et al.) 27	January 1998	NONE		
Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. Special categories of cited documents: A' document defining the general rates of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance to be of particular relevance artificity of the particular relevance artificity of the property of the particular relevance artificity of the property of the particular relevance artificity of the publication of a rate the international filing date of the property of the pr	A	US 5,609,374 A (SAWAE et al.) 11	March 1997	NONE		
Special categories of cited documents: 'A' document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance 'E' earlier document published on or after the international filing date 'C' document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) 'O' document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other meens 'P' document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed Date of the actual completion of the international search 28 JULY 1999 Name and mailing address of the ISA/US Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Box PCT Washington, D.C. 20231 Washington, D.C. 20231	A	US 5,071,500 A (KUMAGAI et al.)	10 December 1991	NONE		
Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance to be of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be consider						
Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance to be of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be consider						
Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance to be of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be consider						
Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance to be of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be consider						
Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance to be of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be consider						
Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance to be of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be consider						
Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance to be of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be consider						
Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance to be of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be consider						
Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance to be of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be consider						
document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document published on or after the international filing date document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other meens "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed Date of the actual completion of the international search 28 JULY 1999 Name and mailing address of the ISA/US Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Box PCT Washington, D.C. 20231 document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art "A" document member of the same patent family Date of mailing address of the ISA/US Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Box PCT Washington, D.C. 20231	Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. See patent family annex.					
X document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone *Y* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone *Y* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone *Y* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone *Y* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone *Y* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone *Y* **A** **A** **A** **A** **B** **B** **P** **A** **	•		date and not in conflict with the appli	ication but cited to understand		
document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed Date of the actual completion of the international search 28 JULY 1999 Name and mailing address of the ISA/US Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Box PCT Washington, D.C. 20231 When the document is taken alone document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art document member of the same patent family Authorized officer GARY HOGE GARY HOGE	to be	s of particular relevance				
special reason (as specified) "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed Date of the actual completion of the international search 28 JULY 1999 Date of mailing of the international search report 14 SEP 1999 Name and mailing address of the ISA/US Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Box PCT Washington, D.C. 20231	"L" docu	ment which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is	considered novel or cannot be consider			
being obvious to a person skilled in the art document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed Date of the actual completion of the international search 28 JULY 1999 Date of mailing of the international search report 14 SEP 1999 Name and mailing address of the ISA/US Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Box PCT Washington, D.C. 20231 being obvious to a person skilled in the art document member of the same patent family Date of mailing of the international search report 4 SEP 1999 Authorized officer GARY HOGE	speci	ial resson (as specified)	considered to involve an inventive	step when the document is		
Date of the actual completion of the international search 28 JULY 1999 Name and mailing address of the ISA/US Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Box PCT Washington, D.C. 20231 Date of mailing of the international search report 4 SEP 1999 Authorized officer GARY HOGE						
28 JULY 1999 Name and mailing address of the ISA/US Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Box PCT Washington, D.C. 20231 Authorized officer GARY HOGE			*&* document member of the same patent family			
Name and mailing address of the ISA/US Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Box PCT Washington, D.C. 20231 Authorized officer GARY HOGE	Date of the actual completion of the international search					
Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Box PCT Washington, D.C. 20231 GARY HOGE	28 JULY 1999		14 SEP 1999			
Washington, D.C. 20231	Commissione		14 Seon 17 Person 18			
Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230 Telephone No. (703) 308-1113						

This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:
BLACK BORDERS
☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
☐ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
☐ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY
Потигр.

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTC,